



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,079	10/07/2003	Kwan-Ho Chan	CHAN-33 CON	9937
44270	7590	11/19/2007		
MEDICINELODGE INC. 180 SOUTH 600 WEST LOGAN, UT 84321			EXAMINER WOO, JULIAN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action**  
**After the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

Application No.

10/680,079

Examiner

Julian W. Woo

Applicant(s)

CHAN, KWAN-HO

Art Unit

3773

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The reply filed 29 August 2007 is acknowledged.

1. ☐ The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered because:

a. ☐ The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).


b. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.  
See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).

2. ☐ The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.

Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).

3. ☒ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

4. ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

  
**JULIAN W. WOO**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

For the purposes of appeal: Claim 36 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Violante (3,840,017). Claims 31, 38, and 39 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Violante (3,840,017) in view of Burkhardt et al. (5,681,333). Claim 32 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Violante (3,840,017) in view of Burkhardt et al. (5,681,333), and further in view of Goldrath (5,330,488).